OfS report on "grade inflation" in English universities

David Firth

Updated 7 January 2019

This file is written in R Markdown.

The small example from my original blog post (2019-01-02)

See https://statgeek.net/2019/01/02/office-for-students-report-on-grade-inflation/

Here I will attempt to use the OfS fixed-effects logistic regression method, for the artificial data that appears in my blog post.

First, set up the data:

```
provider <- factor(rep(c(rep("A", 2), rep("B", 2)), 2))
year <- factor(c(rep("2010-11", 4), rep("2016-17", 4)))
student_type <- factor(rep(c("h", "i"), 4))
n_firsts <- c(1000, 0, 500, 500, 1800, 0, 0, 500)
n_other <- c(0, 1000, 500, 500, 200, 0, 0, 1500)
total <- n_firsts + n_other
the_data <- data.frame(provider, year, student_type, n_firsts, n_other)
the_data</pre>
```

```
##
     provider
                  year student_type n_firsts n_other
## 1
            A 2010-11
                                   h
                                          1000
## 2
            A 2010-11
                                             0
                                                  1000
## 3
            B 2010-11
                                   h
                                           500
                                                   500
            B 2010-11
                                                   500
## 4
                                   i
                                           500
## 5
            A 2016-17
                                   h
                                          1800
                                                   200
## 6
            A 2016-17
                                   i
                                             0
                                                      0
## 7
            B 2016-17
                                             0
                                                      0
                                   h
## 8
            B 2016-17
                                           500
                                                  1500
```

Now fit the logistic regression model as specified in Annex D (p42) of the OfS report.

Compute the fitted values (expected numbers of Firsts) from that model, and print those out:

```
expected_firsts <- total * fitted(the_model)
model_predictions <- data.frame(year, provider, student_type, expected_firsts)
model_predictions</pre>
```

## 4	2010-11	В	i	250
## 5	2016-17	Α	h	1800
## 6	2016-17	Α	i	0
## 7	2016-17	В	h	0
## 8	2016-17	В	i	500

Now get "predicted" counts, as described at point 5 of Annex C in the OfS report. First we make a new dataset in which the year is changed to "2010-11" throughout:

```
newdata <- the_data
newdata$year <- factor(rep("2010-11", 8))</pre>
```

And then we compute the predicted values based on graduation in year 2010-11 (as predicted probabilities multiplied by the binomial totals):

```
predicted_2010_11_counts <- predict(the_model, newdata, type = "response") * total
predicted_2010_11_counts</pre>
```

```
## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
## 750 250 750 250 1500 0 0 500
```

The whole-sector "unexplained" Firsts in 2016-17, as defined at point 5 of Annex C in the OfS report, can now be counted:

```
sum((n_firsts - predicted_2010_11_counts)[year == "2016-17"])
## [1] 300
```

— that's 300 "unexplained" Firsts (or 7.5 percentage points, as a fraction of the total 4000 graduates here).

The better model ("SASA model") from blog post Part 2 (2019-01-07)

This is as described in Sec 2.1 of the "Part 2" blog post at https://statgeek.net/2019/01/07/part-2-further-comments-on-ofs-grade-inflation-report/

```
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
```

(The reported warning relates to the 100% probability of a First in University A in year 2010-11. That's correct, and in this instance the warning can be safely ignored.)

Then as above we compute the predicted values based on graduation in year 2010-11 (as predicted probabilities multiplied by the binomial totals):

```
predicted_2010_11_counts <- predict(SASA_model, newdata, type = "response") * total</pre>
```

The whole-sector "unexplained" Firsts in 2016-17 can now be counted as before:

```
sum((n_firsts - predicted_2010_11_counts)[year == "2016-17"])
## [1] -700
```

— so that's a measured *decrease* of 700 Firsts (which is 23.3% of the expected firsts based on 2010-11 data, or 17.5% of the total 4000 graduates here).